

Question	Yes	No	Don't Know/No preference
Revise title of overlay district to Floyds Fork Zoning Overlay District. The current format of the district may be mistaken for a design review overlay district, such as the Downtown Design Review Overlay District. A zoning overlay district is part of the zoning regulations contained within the Land Development Code and adds another layer of special regulations that are enforceable. The current DRO is part of the zoning regulations; therefore, a more appropriate name for the district would be the Floyds Fork Zoning Overlay District.	232	30	21
Revise General Provisions to better reflect the goals and objectives of Plan 2040 and the South Floyds Fork Vision Plan.	229	37	17
Remove guidelines that have become obsolete over time due to the adoption of regulations both within and outside of the zoning regulations.	223	31	29
Revise definitions to better reflect the current definitions of environmental constraints used within the LDC.	250	21	12
Revise procedures for review to better reflect the current definitions and processes outlined within the LDC for development reviews.	235	26	22
Remove the historic preservation guideline, zoning is not historic preservation and has limited authority. Louisville Metro has provisions for historic preservation through the landmarks and preservation district ordinance.	168	74	41
There are numerous guidelines within the DRO that are related to soil erosion and sediment control. As an example, one guideline states, "Runoff from impervious surfaces should be conveyed in a manner that minimizes erosion. Natural stormwater channels are preferred over manmade materials such as conveyances constructed of concrete." This guideline is consistent with regulations that are now in place under the Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Ordinance that was adopted after the creation of the DRO.	191	48	44
Require independent environmental review of waivers for specific environmental design requirements. The consultant would be chosen by the Planning Commission and paid for by the developer.	211	61	11
Revise the stream buffer and stream setback provisions to make these provisions regulations. Use recommendations in the South Floyds Fork Vision Plan as a basis for revisions to stream buffer standards within the overlay district.	212	53	18
Review the design guidelines to remove guidelines that are subjective and very difficult to turn into a regulation. For example, one current guideline states, "Preservation of agricultural use, including pastures and sustained-yield wood lots, is encouraged." It would be extremely difficult to make this a regulation since these types of lands were not designated when the overlay was created.	182	78	23
Refer to tree canopy regulations in Chapter 10 of LDC instead of current overlay guidelines.	177	70	36
Strengthen lateral field siting requirements, instead of a guideline make a regulation.	204	58	21
Strengthen the wetland guideline into a regulation.	210	59	14
Strengthen the floodplain provision to prohibit structures within the floodplain.	216	57	10
Refer to steep slope regulations listed in chapter 4, part 7 of the LDC instead of current overlay guidelines.	181	54	48
Strengthen the impervious surface guideline for non-residential development.	211	53	19
Comments/Thoughts Regarding the Environmental Guidelines			
Remove guidelines on clustering; these guidelines recommend the use of clustering without any provisions on how to do that or on the type of clustering. There are existing tools within the LDC for clustering type development. Strengthening environmental standards may also increase the likelihood of clustered development. In addition, clustering requirements are more related to form and pattern of development that are typically found within form district standards.	190	49	44
Strengthen the scenic corridor provisions and pattern them on the current scenic corridor standards within Chapter 10 of the LDC.	220	44	19
Strengthen the sign and signature entrance guidelines to reflect the requirements of scenic corridors (Chapter 10) and the signage requirements of Chapter 8 of the LDC. Remove guidelines that are currently regulated by the LDC. There are current guidelines that provide recommended locations for homes and other structures that should be removed since these guidelines would not be consistent with a development standard. The placement of structure on lots is managed by the form district standards within the LDC. In addition, the conservation form district under development would manage the location of buildings on properties.	218	40	25
	205	35	43